UNIVERSITY GIESSEN

Biochar soil amendment increases the resistance of *Chenopodium quinoa* to drought in sandy soils

Prof. Dr. Hans-Werner Koyro

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-

GIESSEI

FACE (Free Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment)

Grassland ecosystem NPP and biodiversity at elevated Temperatures and _{atm}CO₂



Nutrient cycling (N), at elevated Temperature and atm CO₂

Grassland ecosystem Biochar, drought and elevated _{atm} CO₂



%30 Less Water Growth chamber Biochar and drought



Open top chambers drought and salinity at elevated _{atm}CO₂





Chenopodium quinoa cv. Hualhuas

Atriplex nummularia

Decrease of fossile fuels

Global changes

to to offer high value renewable Future resources Challenges

to avoid ecological imbalance or the like

to ensure higher (safe) yields

Increasing world population

Ageing society

Global Climatic Change

Impact of global climatic changes on biomass production



Soil-Plant-Atmosphere Continuum (SPAC)

- a) Soil improvement (such as amendment of biochar, compost and microorganismen) to improve germination, water and nutrient availability and reduce evaporation. This includes also the utilization of the GROASIS waterboxx and non-conventional domestic sewage or saline water resources
- ⇒ b) Improvement of atmosphere. Increase of the atmospheric water potential or nutrient availability (CO₂)
- ⇒ c) Selection and breeding of adequate species with low water consumption and high stress resistance (drought, salinity, heavy metal etc.)

To a) The potential benefits of biochar

PROTECTS CLIMATE

IMPROVES WASTE MANAGEMENT

BIOCHAR

SUPPLIES ENERGY

RESULTS IN SOIL ENHANCEMENT



Control 50mg/I Cu 200mg/I Cu The impact of biochar on the plant response of Chenopodium quinoa Willd.





Fig 1. Small chlorotic stippling on the old leaves of the plant. (a) Control plants, (b) plants collected from urban and industrial areas. Arrows indicate Chlorotic and necrotic lesions on leaves

IPÖ (University of Gießen) Germany



140

Fig. 4. Copper concentrations (mean + SD) of quinoa leaves (A), shoots and roots (B, composite samples), and potting soil (C) at the final harvest (insert: magnification of C). The Cu addition in mmol L⁻¹ is given on the *x*-axis; below, the respective biochar (% BC) addition is denoted. Cross symbol: plant loss (death) 2 d after addition of 200 mmol L⁻¹ Cu.



The impact of biochar at Cu-Toxity on the plant response of quinoa







cv. REAL

Amarilla de Marangani (CICA)

Chenopodium quinoa September 2015 Gießen



Impact of biochar addition on plant response under drought

- → Will Quinoa respond positively to biochar addition, and if so, what eco-physiological mechanisms are involved?
- → Will there also be a positive response under droughtness?
- → Is there a toxic biochar "dose", or is it "the more the better"?



Methods: fully randomized greenhouse study Treatment factors

- Biochar application rates: 0, 100 and 200 t BC/ha * 20 cm depth (pot height)
- Water supply: 60% (control) and 20% (moderate stress) of control WHC
- (n=4 pots / treatment; 9 weeks of study; daily water supply to target WHC; N fertilization: 100 kg N/ha in 3 application doses; final harvest; 2-way ANOVAs + Tukey test)

Measurements

each pot (replicated)

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-

- H₂O consumption & osmotic potential
- Biomass, leaf area
- CN-, Chlorophyll- & Proline concentrations
- CO₂ respiration (plant; soil; both)

one pot / treatment

- A_{max}
- Light response curves
- RuBisCo concentration
- Transpiration
- WUE



Results: BC effects on "soil water & water use"



WHC significantly increased



water supply / consumption decreased



Yield(s) significantly increased



Total leaf area and No. of leaves increased



Results: BC effects on "soil water & water use"

~reduced water consumption plus ~higher yield + leaf area:



→ BC appl. increased WUE, significantly more with water stress



∽ CO₂-Respiration:

BC did not cause larger CO₂ loss by respiration despite larger plants, neither below- nor above-ground.

...note: the highest BC application (200 t) is not linearly better than 100 t !



BC effects on " N use & photosynthesis"



- N conc. in leaves: reduced with BC
- N total (all leaves per plant)...identical!
- → Higher NUE with BC

Lower N concentration with BC-appl. In leaves was reflected by:

- 1. Significantly reduced relative chlorophyll (entire experiment)
- 2. Significantly reduced proline conc.
- 3. Reduced RuBisCO concentration
- 4. Reduced A_{max}, reduced R_{leaf, dark}
- 5. Reduced transpiration
- 6. Increased WUE_P

 \rightarrow Higher WUE_P with BC

JUSTUS-LIEBIG-

Biochar - a promising tool... next: further field trials!





